

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

SUSAN LYNN RICHARDS,

CV 06-6075-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant.

---

REDDEN, Judge:

On April 11, 2007, Magistrate Judge Papak filed his Findings and Recommendation (doc. 11) that the Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) determination that plaintiff "was not under disability" should be reversed and remanded for further proceedings. Magistrate Judge Papak concluded that the ALJ failed to properly consider the medical opinions of the physicians of record. Magistrate Judge Papak also directed the ALJ to obtain further expert medical testimony to resolve ambiguities in the record.

The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-75 (9th Cir. 1996). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 11) as my own. The ALJ's decision is REVERSED and REMANDED for further proceedings consistent with Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2007.

/s/ James A. Redden  
James A. Redden  
United States District Judge